



**FURTHER SUBMISSION
HAMILTON AIRPORT RUNWAY EXTENSION AND CHANGES TO NOISE
BOUNDARIES AND OBSTACLE LIMITATION SURFACES**

NAME OF PERSON MAKING FURTHER SUBMISSION:

Full Name: Future Proof Implementation Committee

Address:

Address For Service of Submitter: 14 Spencer St, Remuera, Auckland 1050

Phone: 09 5222563 Email: ken@kentremaine.co.nz Fax: 09 5234425

Contact Person (name and designation if applicable): Ken Tremaine
Future Proof Implementation Advisor

I am

A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, or

A person that has an interest in the proposed plan change greater than the interest that the general public has.

Please provide the grounds for saying that you come within the category that you have selected:

..... See attached submission

THIS IS A FURTHER SUBMISSION ABOUT:

Name of original submitter: See attached submission

Address:

Submission Number:

I, Support , Oppose (please tick one box) their submission.

I SEEK THAT THE WHOLE (OR PART) OF THE SUBMISSION BE ALLOWED (OR DISALLOWED): (give details, i.e. describe exactly what part of the submission you wish to be allowed or disallowed)

See attached submission

.....

.....

.....

.....

THE PARTICULAR PARTS OF THE SUBMISSION I SUPPORT OR OPPOSE, AND MY REASONS ARE:
(please indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)

..... See attached submission

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

DO YOU WISH TO BE HEARD IN SUPPORT OF YOUR FURTHER SUBMISSION?

Yes No (please tick)

IF OTHERS MAKE A SIMILAR SUBMISSION I WILL CONSIDER PRESENTING A JOINT CASE WITH THEM:

Yes No (please tick)

SIGNATURE OF PERSON MAKING FURTHER SUBMISSION OR PERSON AUTHORISED TO SIGN ON BEHALF OF PERSON MAKING FURTHER SUBMISSION:

Signed:.....

Date:.. 19 January 2011

Note: a signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

Persons making a further submission may deliver or email a copy no later than **Thursday 20 January 2011**.

The address to send all further submissions to is:

Hamilton Airport Plan Change and Variations
C/- Waipa District Council
Private Bag 2402
TE AWAMUTU 3840

Or by email to: submissions@waipadc.govt.nz

Any person making a further submission is also required to send a copy of their submission to:

Waikato Regional Airport Limited
C/- Bloxam, Burnett and Olliver Limited
PO Box 9041
HAMILTON 3240.

Important Notes to person making further submission:

- 1) A copy of this further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on Council. The names and addresses for service of all original submitters are included in the summary of submissions.
- 2) The right to lodge a Further Submission is restricted to: any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, and; any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has. *(refer to Clause 8 of the First Part of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991).*



19 January 2011

Hamilton Airport Plan Change and Variations
c/o Waipa District Council
Private Bag 2402
TE AWAMUTU 3840

BY EMAIL TO: submissions@waipadc.govt.nz

To Whom It May Concern,

**FUTURE PROOF IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE FURTHER SUBMISSION TO
HAMILTON AIRPORT PLAN CHANGE AND VARIATIONS**

This is a further submission by the Future Proof Implementation Committee (“FPIC”) to the proposed plan change, variations and related designations by Waikato Regional Airport Limited. The FPIC’s position is that the Hamilton Airport plan change and variations are generally supported *provided that* adverse effects can be adequately avoided, remedied or mitigated.

The FPIC is the implementation arm of the Future Proof Growth Strategy (“Future Proof” or “Strategy”). The FPIC includes representatives from the Future Proof sub-regional councils of Hamilton City, Waipa District, Waikato District, the Waikato Region, as well as tāngata whenua. The Future Proof partners may still make individual submissions.

The Future Proof Strategy recognises that the expansion of the Airport has important economic advantages for the sub-region. The Future Proof Strategy also recognises that the Airport should continue to be protected from reverse sensitivity effects through controls to avoid the establishment of new activities which would be adversely affected by airport noise and operational effects (particularly residential and rural-residential activities).

The continued implementation of noise contours, obstacle limitation surfaces and other land-use restrictions including controls on the types of development permitted on land at the ends of the main runway, are supported by Future Proof in order to ensure that development does not adversely impact on the operation of the Airport.

The FPIC reserves the right to appear in support of its submission. If others make a similar submission the FPIC would also be prepared to consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Ken Tremaine".

Ken Tremaine
Future Proof Implementation Advisor

CC:

Waikato Regional Airport Limited
c/- Bloxham, Burnett and Olliver Limited
PO Box 9041
HAMILTON 3240

FPIC Further Submission

Submitter Details		Sub Number	Airport Runway process	Support / Oppose	Further Submission Details	Relief Requested
1.	Waikato Regional Airport Ltd ("WRAL") Airport Road RD 2 Hamilton	9	V14	Support	<p>Support submission where it states that the variation will support improvements in transport infrastructure and consequential regional economic growth. The Future Proof Strategy contains provisions on the strong relationship of transport infrastructure and economic growth.</p> <p>Also support submission where it claims to assist in protecting the Airport from reverse sensitivity effects of the incompatible development around it. The Future Proof Strategy is clear that the Airport is to be protected from reverse sensitivity effects through controls to avoid the establishment of new activities which would be adversely affected by airport noise and operational effects (particularly residential and rural-residential activities).</p> <p>The reference to the variation being consistent with and assisting with achieving the objectives of the RPS, the Future Proof Strategy, Waipa 2050 and the RLTS is supported.</p> <p>Further analysis of the adequacy of the noise mitigation proposals will be undertaken once a copy of the Noise Report submitted with the application is received.</p>	Give effect to the submission.
2.	WRAL	10	V22	Support.	As above in relation to the submitter's submission on the	Give effect to the

Submitter Details		Sub Number	Airport Runway process	Support / Oppose	Further Submission Details	Relief Requested
					<p>variation 14 process, the FPIC supports this submission on the variation 22 process where it states that the variation will support improvements in transport infrastructure and consequential regional economic growth.</p> <p>Also support submission where it claims to assist in protecting the Airport from reverse sensitivity effects of the incompatible development around it. The Future Proof Strategy is clear that the Airport is to be protected from reverse sensitivity effects through controls to avoid the establishment of new activities which would be adversely affected by airport noise and operational effects (particularly residential and rural-residential activities).</p> <p>The reference to the variation being consistent with and assisting with achieving the objectives of the RPS, the Future Proof Strategy, Waipa 2050 and the RLTS is supported.</p> <p>Further analysis of the adequacy of the noise mitigation proposals will be undertaken once a copy of the Noise Report submitted with the application is received.</p>	submission.
3.	WRAL	11	PC69	Support	The FPIC supports the inclusion of the Runway protection area at the northern end of the extended runway as part of PC69 to correct an error. This is in line with Future Proof's support of the general nature of the plan change so long as any adverse effects can be avoided, remedied or mitigated.	Give effect to the submission.

Submitter Details		Sub Number	Airport Runway process	Support / Oppose	Further Submission Details	Relief Requested
4.	New Zealand Transport Agency ("NZTA") PO Box 973 Waikato Mail Centre Hamilton 3240	12	PC69 V14	Support	<p>Future Proof supports the NZTA's conditional support of the notice of requirement upon the identification of appropriate measures which are implemented to mitigate actual and potential effects on the safety and efficiency of the existing and future State highway network, and the existing and future arterial roading network.</p> <p>This is in line with Future Proof's general support of the plan change and variations provided that any adverse effects can be avoided, remedied or mitigated.</p>	Give effect to the submission.
5.	Environment Waikato PO Box 4010 Hamilton East 3247	19	PC69 V14 V22	Support	<p>The FPIC supports the submission's acknowledgement that the importance of the Airport as a national and international transport hub is likely to increase.</p> <p>Future Proof agrees that an increase in new noise sensitive activities in locations with high noise exposure will cumulatively have the potential to lead to further restrictions on the operational capability of the Airport and this reverse sensitivity is an adverse effect. Environment Waikato cites examples of airports which have a curfew given their proximity to residential locations. The Environment Waikato submission aligns with Future Proof Strategy provisions on the importance of managing reverse sensitivity effects.</p>	Give effect to the submission.